COUNTDOWN-WACO "THE DIVINELY ORDAIN LANDMARKS"

HAS

FINALLY ARRIVED

Dear brethren of all Davidia,

Greetings from our father. "Howbeit for this cause I obtained mercy, that in me first Jesus Christ might show forth all long suffering, for a pattern to them which here-after believe on him to life everlasting."

The foundation of our problem in Davidia, in regard for accepting and denying doctrines, occassionally, has been on the basis of "taking sides" and compromise. (See John 18:37-38). Unless we depend only upon the Word of God, there will always be confusion on the Waco controversy. In spite of the confusion that may exist among Davidians, it will not be long until young and old will be confronted with the issues which lend themselves in one's taking one of

The Two Ways.

After a discussion on the Waco issue, I was told by sister K____ to listen to Bro.Y__ as if he was the Truth. In support of his theory, sister K___ thought that it is unnecessary to accept her God-given responsibility to prove her belief on her own grounds. Due to this neglect of duty, she has attributed the job of proving all things and holding fast to that which is good to Bro.Y__ and consented to whatever decisions he makes; similarly, many Davidians are imitatively acting out this "dance" of "..the reed" when "shaken by the wind." (Matt. 11:7, D.A. p. 218, 2Thess. 5:21.) This practice inspiration condemns in the citations as follows:

"There will be many now, as in ancient times, who will hold to tradition, and worship they know not what....Brethren, we must not put our dependence in man. 'Cease ye from man, whose breath is in his nostrils: for wherein is he to be accounted of?'....We are living in perilous times, and it does not become us to accept everything claimed to be truth without examining it thoroughly; neither can we afford to reject anything that bears the fruits of the spirit of God." - C.W.E.p. 39,35, T.M.p.476. "the great danger of our people has been that of depending upon men making flesh their arm....Let all be very modest, and seek most earnestly to put self out of the question, and to exalt Jesus. In most of the religious controversies the foundation of trouble is that self is striving for supremacy." - T.M.pp.106,71.

"Then he brought me to the door of the gate of the Lord's house which was towards the north; and behold, there sat women weeping for Tammuz. then said he unto me, hast thou seen this O son of man? turn thee yet again, and thou shall see greater abominations than these." -Ezk. 8:14-15, ISA. 58:1.

In contrast to the fore-going class of believers and their broadness of the way, are the following: "And I looked, and lo a Lamb stood on the Mount Zion, and with him a hundred and forty-four thousand having their father's name written of their foreheads. ... These are they which follow the Lamb wheresoever he goeth." (Rev.14:1,4.) "... We know that thou, [the Lamb] art true, and teaches the way of God in truth, neither carest thou for any man; for thou regard not the person of men." Matt. 22:16.[] added.

Despite of the truth herein mentioned, the former class utters parrot-like statements after that of their master and never stop to think whether their assertions stand or fall; whereas the latter class of believers "lift up" Jesus-the Lamb of God. They are not swayed by neither families nor friends or church authorities, but they instruct all men in the ways of God saying "it is written." Matt.4:4,7.

The former class, not better than their master who quotes scriptures and tremble at their very utterance, cites very little if any of the sacred writ, and yet they have no sense of fear when leaning upon men making flesh

their arm. James 3:19, Matt.4:6. This "side-taking" class of believers will shift sides for either truth or error and from error to error depending who and how many are on which side; this they will do by negligence notwithstanding the warnings from Inspiration demanding that they should make

A Thorough Investigation Before Firing For or Against.

Some may ask, "What are your qualifications for writing us on this Waco issue?" God is leading is my answer. Whatsoever He gives me, that I must give less at the peril of my soul. Ez.3:18-21. In my possession are the following resources: 1) Documents from the Biblical research committee when the Waco issue was first investigated which includes seven against and three for, and other exhibits. 2) Four reports that was circulated, though not widely, for central location, and a complication of references given at last session. 3) I have attended the discourse given at session on central location and Ezekiel 47 and have three tapes on questions and answers on the subjects. 4) I have the report entitled "A Blessing in The Midst of The Land" and its exhibits which are 44 pages. 5) I have been on the old site of Old Carmel and have seen the buildings, thoroughly in and out that is now standing on the desired 15 acres of land. 6) I'm also in possession of the report entitled "From Ingedi to Enegliam". 7) I have prayerfully scrutinized this issue with fasting and prayer with hope that the Lord will brighten His pathway for His people and to make the crooked ways straight, making the mountains into plains "Until justice run down like waters and righteousness a mighty stream." With these articles, we hope that truth in regard to old Mt. Carmel will be clearly disclosed do view beginning first with

The Providence of God.

At no time since the dawn of 1844 has God led anyone either through prophecy or by direct communication to a direct spot in which to locate the headquarters of his work, but through signs and providence He leads prayerful minds to make an intelligent decision in obtaining a property. Never has God's people ever had to forced themselves on a property. Never had they a lack of means to purchase a desired location. They never had the though of purchasing any piece of land that was costly which necessitate a test of faith to meet its sky high demands; however, He had always given us a broad spectrum territory in which a thorough search could be made. Also too, brothers and sisters, He would indicate, by providence, whenever a chosen plot of land was no longer to remain the center of His work. These Truths in regard to the location of headquarters are transparently clear from these references quoted below.

"Before the close of the 1903 General Conference, the delegates voted...

"Voted, that we favor locating the headquarters of the general conference in the vicinity of New York city." --"At the nation's capital" p.389.

"As the locating committee had found nothing in the vicinity of New York city that seemed to meet their requirements as they had been counseled [by E.G.White] in both letters to <u>study the advantages of Washington</u>, [broad spectrum territory] some members of the committee decided to go to that city, although with but little hope of finding the advantages desired. But they were happily surprised." Ibid p.393 [ladded.

"Representative brethren from many parts of the field met in Washington D.C. and proceeded at once to inspect...suitable properties. Morning by morning, before going out, they met to pray earnestly for divine guidance, and their prayers were signally answered. In "...Washington was found a tract of fifty acres, which seem to meet all requirements." ("Life sketches p.394.) In regard to positive light of God's providence, inspiration declares: "The owners of these places [tracts of land at Takoma Park] died, or their attention is called to some other object, and their property is offered for sale at a very low price."

"He [Boston physician who formerly owned part of the land] had been unable do finance his proposed enterprise, and after his death the property had fallen into the hands of a gentleman who held a \$15,000 mortgage against it, and who was now offering it for \$6,000." -"At the nation's capital pp.393,395. []added. (a significant drop in price!) The land resembles representations that have been shone me by the Lord."

"It seems as if Tokama Park has been <u>specially prepared</u> for us, and that it has <u>been waiting to</u> <u>be occupied</u> by our institutions and their workers." -"At the nation's capital p.397.

"Let the publishing house be established near Washington. Thus we shall show that we are trying to do what God has bidden us to proclaim the last message of mercy to a perishing world." Ibid p.394

In the foregoing citations we see that God gave his people a large territory from which a search is to be made, then after a desired land had been obtained He confirms it by "signals" and further endorsed it by Inspiration; "...It seems as if Tokoma Park has been specially prepared for us"; that location signified that they were doing what God had bidden them to do, that is: "To proclaim the last message (judgment-thrid angel) of mercy to a perishing world." Here, as in many other cases, brothers and sisters, we see that God allows

<u>Prophetic Expectation to Be</u> <u>Intervened by Divine Providence.</u>

Although the prophetess stated that locating of headquarters in Washington was to represent that they were to proclaiming the last message of mercy, yet we find Inspirations saying: "...one part of Adventist are in Washington, D.C. and the other part is in Texas. They are unable to get along together." 12 S.C.5 p.18:1. "...such a break need never to have taken place, for God desired his voice, the Rod to be heard,..." G.C.p.5-6. Here we see that Sis. White was not envisioning the results of the "shaking" at the time she was emphasizing relocation. (see C.W.E. pp.34-35 E.W. p 270, 3 T.p. 254, T.M.pp.106-107, 327, 409-410, 372-373) Also, too, John the baptist, the greatest of all prophets of the old testament, did not have a clear view of how his and the Messiah's work were to be terminated, for he quoted the prophecies relating to our future hoping to have had seen them fulfill in his day. (see D.A.pp.103,215-216, 218). We have come to the realization that Bro. Houteff and Sis. White are of no exceptions. (see ANS.2:76,80-81,2TG.41:3).

The two primary reasons for Bro. Houteff's relocating the headquarters from California to Texas were 1) for "lack of room" and 2) to be "more centrally located" secondly, after praying for light, the Lord indicated that Israel was to be a blessing in the midst (Texas) of the Land (the two Americas), and finally, an eastward course was to be "seen" in the stream of Ezekiel's prophecy. Also, we see that providential circumstances plays an imperative role in locating headquarters as we will see below.

"Though it was at first thought that the office should <u>remain</u> in its original location [California] for a <u>month or two longer</u> circumstances called for its immediate removal." I S.C .11-12:1. () added. Although the MT.C. campus was named the anti-typical hill of Micah 7:14 in the days of Bro. Houteff, yet after his death, through <u>providential circumstances</u>. God indicated otherwise.

"Those changes were brought about by a variety of factors chief among which were (1) increasingly sharper competition; and (2) the new regulation and requirements of the city incident to our being taken into city limits."

"These factors gave the executive council clear evidence of God's leading through those <u>Providential circumstances</u> and were therefore <u>the basic causes</u> of the council's decision to start selling the land in acreage tracts while there was still some <u>demand</u> for Waco property among those whose business is land development." 11 S.C. 8:22-23 (After Bro. Houteff's death).

"In reply to the <u>question that has been asked</u> in regard to settling somewhere else, I answer Yes. Let the General conference offices and the publishing work be moved from Battle Creek. "Let us pray that He will direct us. He has signified, <u>by His providence</u> that He would have us leave Battle Creek..... "At he Nation's capital pp. 389, 397.

Thus we can see clearly that the 1955 selling of Waco property was providentially ordain to close off old Mt. Carmel which was built after the pattern of God's approval. Thus the Brethren left only 18 acres of the sacred ground of 189 acres. Now, in 1991, it has been reduced to 15 acres, and it is well over 2 millions dollars in cost. The land has been defiled by the gentiles occupation of it from the time it was reduced to 18 acres, up to this very day of January 12, 1991. Yes, the land has been a dry deserted wilderness with no green pastures for the past 35 years, yet in a 20 year period, in the days of the prophet, Mt. Carmel overflow with green pastures. Does this gap of withering defines the term "Permanent" which the prophet expected or do you need "The new American Roget's College thesaurus in dictionary form." Obviously then, it is clear that providential circumstance overrated the prophet's intentions for the Waco plot of land.

The way that Tokoma park was found, in every particular, was very similar to that of which Waco was found. First, by a broad spectrum territory, then by prayer and search, the Lord indicated, though divinely ordain

landmarks, the land he had prepared for His people. This we will quickly note in the following synopsis of truth.

"Consequently, the lone star state [not Waco] being in the <u>midst of the land</u> for both Americas, North and South, is the place [Texas] where we must set the camp," 1 S.C. 10:1 "...And plans were soon formulated whereby a <u>through search</u> could be made <u>in the territory</u> [not Waco] indicated by the Lord where the future headquarters were to be found."

"...For more than two months we have followed to the best of our ability every indication of our divine Leader, searching the land thoroughly from San Antonio to Dallas and Forth Worth which is within the territory [Texas] indicated where the Lord would have us to locate." -1 S.C. 10:3 [] added. (in support of the brackets see 12 S.C.5:18)

Note: From this context the only requirement necessary in order to obtain the Lord's blessing is to be in the midst of the land -Texas- nothing more nothing less. Knowing that it has been the devil's deal to inspire Davidians to add and subtract from the Rod, I pray that the reader will, with the help of God, shun this positively pernicious habit.

From what we have understood thus far we see that God, through Providential circumstances, established the gentiles on the old Carmel property by removing His own divinely ordain landmarks, which according to prophecy, we were to follow. This we know because of the following points: (1) that the land was to be sold to high class residence. (2) Inspiration was campaigning an unhesitatingly final all-out effort. (3) the sale of Mt. Carmel was in fulfillment of Bbible prophecy (parable) and instruction from the Spirit of Bible prophecy. (4) the city nearing Mt. Carmel doors was a sign for its sale. (5) Finally, the work was to come to an immediate end during the short time "hunting" period (11 S.C.8:19, 10 S.C. 3-4:28) which was the reason for sending out the Jezreel letters.

All this is what the prophet expected, even the fulfillment of Biblical instruction, but all this he stared until the Lord saw that the "blue print" was completed, his work was finished. Now my brethren, it is time for us, instead of trying to fulfill prophecy by picking up from where Sis. Houteff left off, we would do better to start over by following all of the Divinely ordain Landmarks. This we must do because the 'camp' of Ezk.4:2 according to the pattern of its early establishment no is longer exist.

For more light on God's plan as reveal through providential circumstances, see: 5 T.p.512, 3 T.p.482, 4 T.p.545.

Note: All of the Ellen White citations quoted in this report are only to show a pattern to that of Bro. Houteff. Her citations quoted here are in line and in context one hundred percent, to the best of my knowledge, with every indication that Bro. Houteff used.

With the wisdom which many did not have, the Lord, through divine indications, presented to Bro. Houteff and his brethren a land for locating headquarters containing

Many Infallible Proofs.

If the old site of old Carmel, to some of us, were as busy as the center of the city, and since we claim that it is "unreasonable to name any other plot of land Mt. Carmel," then obviously it matters not what setting be that plot of land, it is the only place for headquarters; Furthermore, we claim that the land, as it stands now, is the Anti-typical Hill (see location of Headquarters p. 8). In the light of this positively private ideas we find that God's divinely ordained Landmarks are irrelevant. But very contrary to these zeal-filled super exciting theories we see that from time to time when the Lord relocates His headquarters, He has never left Himself without proof.

"We have followed " says Inspiration, "to the best of our ability every indication of our Divine Leader, searching the land thoroughly..." 1 S.C.10:3 [] added.

"...So the more we consider this location the more convinced we were that the Lord was directing us to this place, as evidenced by 'many infallible proofs', which we dare not question..." Ibid p.3. "We must not depend upon human judgment. We must seek for the wisdom that God gives...

"In Takoma park, one of the most attractive and healthful of the towns near washington, was found a tract of fifty acres which seemed to meet all requirement."

"The longer we continued to search, the deeper our convictions grew. We found conditions here far more in harmony with the counsel...received, than we found else where." Life Sketches pp. 391, At the Nation's Capital p.392, 394.

The constitution of God's Divinely ordain Landmarks:

- 1. For lack of room and to be more centrally located 3 S.C. 11-12:3
- 2. A land that is rural and contain lots of room for expansion exemplifying that God makes no mistake. 1 S.C. 10:3
- 3. Surrounded by land, without having to crowd our institutions, and never in a congested center. -At the Nation's Capital p.392
- 4. Not in a city nor in its <u>suburbs</u>, <u>solitary</u> in the woods, <u>far away from all the world</u>, in an area where there is nothing but land, timber, bush and dense forested. This condition is to be a <u>test for His pioneers</u>. -At the Nations Capital p.392, 1 S.C. 10:1, 2 S.C.10:2, 2 S.C.5-6 p.3, 10 S.C.3-4:28, 11 S.C.8:16-17.
- 5. City population versus distance from city proportions. -At the Nation's Capital p. 392:1, 1 S.C. 10:1:8.
- 6. Far away from corrupting influences of city life and not in an environment were they can be ensuared by Satan. 1 S.C.10:1:8, 1 S.C. 14:5:3, 2 S.C. 10:2:2, At the Nation's Capital p.392:2.
- 7. Inexpensive land, simplicity is to be the watch word for everything. 1 S.C.10:3:7
- 8. An elevation of 200-300 ft. above sea level. 2 S.C. 10:2:1, L.S. p.394:1.

Yes, brothers and sisters, from these references we can see clearly that the Old site of old Carmel today, apart from its altitude and central location, is in 100% complete violation of all of the fore-going Divinely ordain Landmarks "... that we dare not question." Further exposure of this controversy will follow on page 7-8. Now it is necessary to consider the facts set forth in regard to the difference between

Mt. Carmel Camp and The Anti-hill.

"The top of Carmel" or "the Midst of Carmel" historically consist of 189 acres of land, not 15 acres as some would have us to believe. The unnecessary land or the "excess" is another 186 acres of land which was known as Mt. Carmel's property. This gives a total of 375 acres of Mt. Carmel center and Mt. Carmel's property.

Davidians who wish to live near Mt. Carmel, to keep the gentiles away from the center, and to give free access to Mt. Carmel's necessary constructions. Those who lived on the excess property were exempted from the specified laws that governed the Center. Thus the 189 acreage "camp" was "...built as a base of operation for training and fitting workers to carry this special message to the church; for educating deserving youth; for caring for worthy poor, aged, widowed, and orphaned; and for ministering to the sick and the infirm according to God's plan." -3 Ans.46:1.

"Feed thy people with thy <u>Rod.</u>...which dwell solitary in the wood, in the <u>midst of Carmel</u>...

"The verb 'feed' is to be understood as spiritual food and that food (Truth) is found in the 'Rod,' [none else but Elijah's publications]. Therefore we again have the command to give out the book...

"Mt. Carmel is where Elijah had his experience with the backslidden Israel in the days of Ahab."
1 S.R.243.

Also, in reference to Ex.4:17,20, Inspiration states: "It was by the power in the 'Rod of God' that Israel came out of Egypt. This advent movement being a duplicate of the one in Egypt, and of the Exodus, we again have 'the Rod of God'." -Ibid. p.244. (see 1 T.G. 27:8-9, G.C.S. pp 34-36, Ans.3:9-20,23.)

When Micah 7:14 was <u>first</u> revealed in the first volume of the Shepherd's Rod, after it was <u>first</u> printed, Bro. Houteff had not the light in terms of "dwelling solitary in the woods;" apparently, he could not link up that chain of truth to the camp of Ezk.4:2, yet Mt. Carmel's spiritual pastures did exist; for from thence came all the truth revealed prior to 1935. But Mt. Carmel's Camp of Ezk.4:2 was not realized until 1935. (see tithes receipts: 6 S.C.1-6:8, 1 S.C.14:5). He name the "camp" after its antitypical significance, but he did not know that Micah 7:14 revealed the truth for both pasture and camp until after he named the camp. Similarly, although bro. Houteff was not aware of Micah 7:14 when the first volume of the SRod was written in 1929, yet he had been fully aware of the Shepherd's Rod's antitypical significance. (see 1 S.R.p.95-96, 1 T.G.27:7-9, G.C. p.8, S.C.10:7:4). It was evident, therefore, that the naming of the SRod books and the camp came about, wittingly or unwittingly, by their antitypical significance when prophetic realization was unrolled.

Despite of the foregoing paragraph the question may still be agitated: "what is Mt. Carmel?" is it a geographical hill, or is it the Rod literatures? or is it a period in time? or is it all the above? Although, brothers and sisters, Mt. carmel can represent all of the above, but in the most positive actual term it represents none of the above. It has

x 11.1.2.2

5

been said that since no other book can be named SRod likewise no other plot of land can be name Mt. carmel. From that which Inspiration has said concerning the Rod books I must agree with my brethren, but in regard to the plot of land there has been no proof shone for this assertion as weighted in the balance below.

In fulfillment of the call of <u>building</u> "... a fort against it, and casting a mount against it; set the camp also against it, ..." the prophet moved from california to the <u>midst of the land (Texas)</u> in answer to the question "...where shall we build?" He made it plain that <u>Texas</u> was "...the place were we <u>must</u> set the camp." Finally, it was clear to him that God had given the Association "...one of His ...lakeside hill adjacent to Waco Texas," and "there lies 189 acres of land for our 'camp'." This making or building of a temporary lodging place was later known as the midst of Carmel where the infirm, the students, the workers, and the Ministry "dwell". (see 1 S.C. 10:1, 1 S.Rp. p.75, 2 S.C. 10:2, Mt.C. pp. 5, 16-29.)



"We are now endeavoring to erect the camp of Ezekiel 4:2." This shows that it had not been previously establish. (1 S.C. 11-12:2,3) Whereas, concerning the plot of land Inspiration states: "More and more impressive... the Truth becomes that the Lord has prepared this place [plot of land] from its very beginning for the work which it was to accomplish." 2 S.C. 5-6 p.3. [] added.

"the work of building this institution [the camp, not the plot of land]...was to be but a temporary headquarters for the duration of the closing work for the church." 11 S.C.8:18

Now, mark clearly, that the 'campus' (antitypical hell, or mount) is not synonymous to the term 'camp' (building of facilities, making of a lodging place). Yes, the 'Campus,' the ordain hill, temporarily borrows the term 'Mt. Carmel ' after which the camp that was built was named.

"It might be interesting to Elder G. to know that the naming of our 'camp' Mt. Carmel Center came about the same way as the naming of our publications 'the Shepherd's Rod', for we did not know before hand that it ['camp'-Mt. Carmel] was in prophecy until our attention was called to Micah 7:14 and Amos 1:2" -1 S.C.12:30. [] added.

Concerning the book we read:

"The Shepherd's Rod the power of God;.... the shepherd's Rod is an instrument which is used to catch sheep [people]. What could it be? It can not be anything else but some wonderful, plain, clear cutting Bible truth which could not be contradicted...But Moses' Rod swallowed the rods of the Egyptians. Symbol of victory for true Israel. The result is opposition, but true Israel wins at last." - 1 S.R. p.95.

Since the Rod books were named after-thought of their antitypical work (not from the prophecy of Micah 6,7) then obviously the 'camp', likewise, was name after-thought of its antitypical pasture, not conclusive of Micah 7:14, and Ezk.4:2. (see 1 S.C.11-12:23, 1 S.C.14:5, 1 S.R. pp.243-244). Thus, if however the camp is separated from its antitypical work or ceases to exist in a given location, consequently that area and its shelters cannot be termed Mt. Carmel! Paraventure that providence overruled the God-given landmarks, then land would no longer be antitypical!

The facts stands bright as the high noon sun that Mt. Carmel's pasture has been in existence from 1929- to this very day, whereas the camp of Ezk.4:2 where God's people 'dwell' in the Midst of carmel, the 189 acres, began in 1935 and withered in 1955. This is so because when sis. Houteff sold portions of the top of carmel that area historically withered; to elucidate further, the selling of the midst of carmel is not the same as the selling of the excess but the whole (the close of Carmel). Although some of our brethren will attempt to retract the 15 acres, but according to Inspiration's principles, their so-called "Top of Carmel" is not redemptive.

One may ask: did not Bro. Houteff termed the old plot of land the sacred hill? the Antitypical hill? and Divinely ordain hill? Yes is the answer. The land was ordain of God and kept sacred by its inhabitants. Although those titles were true in the days of Bro. Houteff, unfortunately, they were of no use after the 1955 sale of Mt. Carmel. Since there can be only one true Carmel whether it be "pasture" or "camp" or both, and since all agree that is was by God's providence that we were brought to Mountaindale, then only by a direct clear evidence of truth can we move the Association from its present location, not by the half truth and half error of the "back to Waco" theory. Thus it is clear that the "campus" borrowed its name from the "camp", the erected facilities which received its name from the antitypical work for which they were built. From 1955 to this very day the top of

Carmel is no longer sacred, no longer rural, no longer solitary. This fact we see clearly from the report: "Blessing In the Midst of the land." pp. 19-20, that the distance from the 189 acres to the city limits which existed in the days of the prophet are no longer applicable, and worse too, the population of the city is on the increase not decrease.

"Also, too, if the theory: "no other plot of land can be name Mt. Carmel" be true, then any other "erected gentile 'camp', the Presbyterian church and the school, which is not according to the plan, cannot be termed the "camp" of Ezk. 4:2. and since the administrative building, the only remains of the Ezk. 4:2 camp, and since it alone can never be termed the "camp" then the inevitable conclusion is either that Mt. Carmel's work is done or that the Activists insertions are back firing and evil-breeding which would be due to the neglect of Providence. This "no other plot" theory is derived by the same manipulation of Inspiration as is the "Present east-moving steam" theory. The former is not any more convincing than the latter.

"We are ready to accept truth, but when the theory is contradicted by the scriptures [Inspiration], then we must not submit to erroneous conclusions, for, he who believes a lie is an abomination unto God." -2 S.R. p.19.

THE RAW FACTS

Of the two old Carmel buildings, only one is habitable. Of the two school buildings on the site, only one is built with rooms and space for accommodations. But across the street from the school is a huge church with a kindergarten attached to it. The area is not surrounded by land (T.7:78,79; 2 S.M. 301). The pictures that were taken by the brethren created an illusive scenery of an enormous amount of land, and made the area to appear solitary and the church was made to appear small and insignificantly built.

Contrary to the foregoing conditions, inspiration states that "simplicity [not costly nor splendor] should be the watchword for everything." 1 S.C. 10:3. Also too, we are not to purchase a land that is costly and is located in a "congested center" with a lack of room for expansion. The land containing the church and school, is twelve times smaller than that which the prophet used as his rural base of operation for the 'camp'. [] added.

One block from the church and school is Mt. Carmel City of Waco water plant. The street that divides the church and school is for public use, giving disadvantages possibly of coming in close contact with the worldly influences. This is the Devil's deal; this is the cause which the Devil has led many to support notwithstanding the fact that the Spirit of Prophecy warned us against it to wit:

"The workers connected with the publishing house must be closely guarded. Our young men and women must not be placed where they will be in danger of being snared by Satan.
"We should not establish this institution in a city, nor in the Suburbs of a city. It should be established in a rural district, where it can be surrounded by land."- At The Nation's capital p. 392.

Yes, Brothers and Sisters, Mt Carmel cannot be established in a suburb, nor can it be surrounded by the housing of Gentiles, (congested center), but it must be rural and solitary.

"We must, therefore find a place that has sufficient land for these [Inspiration's] purposes. We must not settle in a congested center." Ibid p.392.

"For this purpose has he bought the land and settled in the woods on the top (midst) of Mt. Carmel, by the side of the brook." 1 S.C. 14:5.

In the days of Bro. Houteff the center of Mt. Carmel, actually, was not where the administrative building is now situated but rather 200 yards and more from thence. (6 S.C. 1-6:3-4.) The center of the campus was the place where Bro. Houteff had the temporary dining room; it was the first building erected, and occupied the publishing and administratively services. Later, that geographical center was known as the academy grounds where the school of the prophets, dwellings, and dormitories for students, was built. In the light of these facts here mentioned there is no way that any reference can be misconstrued to say that the school and the church that is now in that area are "the top of Carmel" unless it be admitted that the entire 189 acres run, equivalently, under the same title.

THE SALE

Of all of Inspiration's citation none, not one, states that Bro. Houteff's selling of old Carmel was for relocation, on the contrary in his 1953 Symbolic Code inspiration said that the institution that was built was to be "but temporary headquarters for the duration of the closing work for the church". And in 1954, "The finishing touches are being placed on the printing building, and before long it should be ready for occupancy..." -10 SC 2:19; 11 S.C. 8:16. Finally, he was selling the excess not, the 189 acres, in an all out effort to reclaim the church from the hands of the "tare" sower. This selling of the excess land was to exemplify to every Davidian to dispose their property in order that the proceeds be given to the cause.

THEN THE WHOLE

The 189 acres, or the whole can only be sold at the termination of the work when the workers, the printer, the school of the prophets ceases, not before. This is in accordance with the principle laid down in 2 S.C. 10:2 in regard to the boarders of the 189 acres: "... someone would eventually have purchased it and possibly have become a menace to Mt. Carmel."

We all know that the taking care of the sick, restoring the school of the prophets, the "fishing" and "hunting" are Mt. Carmel's given goals. The prophet could not possibly, at any time, be fulfilling Mount Carmel's given goal and simultaneously selling the very required property with which to accomplish that goal.

Was not the selling of the land and the city coming to our very doors was an indication that Bro. Houteff wanted to relocate? That could have been possible but there is not a shred of Inspirational authority for such speculation. On the contrary, the Spirit of Prophecy said to wit:

"Yes, with the city coming to our very doors, the peach orchard must go. Now the trees are old, half withered, and barely alive. But they served well their purpose for the time that we needed them. Now the land [excess first] will be sold at a profit and the means used to search out and save the lost sheep of the house of Israel good-bye dear peach orchard."-10 S.C. 2:11-13 Dec. 1954. [] added.

At the end of MT. Carmel's given goal, the work that would be left for her to do is to spread the Jonah's message and to gather her children for the solemn assembly. Yes, the only thing that would be left for her to do! The duration and the place(s) for this solemn assembly will certainly cost if the 144000 and more will be apart; the travelling expenses for all Mt. Carmel representatives and members must be met; that is Mt. Carmel's responsibility, her given goal. My conclusion is that the selling of the "whole" was to occur contemporarily with the solemn assembly. He was selling the excess to announce the closing (not the close) of Mt. Carmel, then the "whole" which expresses the close of Mt. Carmel. Yes, my Brothers and sisters the "excess" then the "whole".

Admitting the Facts

Of the first documents, one by the original Activist and the other from Florida, favoring Waco, wittingly or unwittingly, omitted contextual truth. Later, another document was prepared on central location which its author, in the 1990 session states: "What I present here today, you all got it...After I gave my report I showed you that...the report nullified Waco, but the report showed that a central Location was still valid." The most recent report to my knowledge is one similar to that of the original Waco report using the same parrot-like methods of quoting plenty of harmless reference, but which in the application of them, when lifted out of the whole tenor of contextual truth, is nothing more than a fallacious attempt to becloud and cover up the truth.(W.R.p. 33, J.L.8-36 para.1,3,6.) On a recorded discussion between those favoring "central location" and the Primary Waco Activist, one of the Leaders in the Waco movement when put to a question answered in the following manner: "The point is; Sarah saw what God said; it looked impossible...but, God said this is the way it was to work...even though it didn't look like it fit [at] ninety years old. How is she going to have a baby at ninety?...who is going to Waco with it not being solitary in the woods, or whatever, it looks impossible; it looks ridiculous."

If the Lord wanted us back in the old site I'm sure He would have preserved His prophetic landmarks which has been proof that He makes no mistakes. But now, to subtract those Landmarks from Micah's prophecy and claim

to be in fulfillment of it is an outright lie and an attempt by the Arch-deceiver to cause us to pave the way of usurping Inspirations throne of prophets. concerning this devious manipulation of inspiration which the General Conference has been practicing on Rev.9, and there attempt to bury the Rod alive by quoting from it bluntly, Inspiration condemn in the following to wit:

"By isolating the proof upon which the statements are made, the Brochure makes the Rod statements appear blunt, unauthorative [unauthentic], without foundation and untrue.

"...Adam's brochure again omits the Rod's basic reasons for the statement it makes,...

"Work of this kind is more than to hang a man without a hearing. It is to bury him alive and his witness with him." -J.L.8-36:3,4,6.

Yes, as never before, the Arch-deceiver wishes us to quote bro. Houteff statements (which was made to Elder G.) in 11 S.C.12:30:1,2, as it was quoted in the Conference's devious reply, bluntly, so that to strengthens the armies of Satan and weakens Jesus line of defense. Therefore, it should behooves us,today, as never before,to "quickly and solidly brace [ourselves] against the Enemies efforts to deliver a knock out blow..." W.R.p.33. [] added.

Most of the Waco brethren m are very honest, but they are led by some spirit of feeling, empression, and in most cases by some dissatisfaction on some other issues which have led many to resort to "side-taking". Among the activists, there is great potential for position seeking should the session ever vote the council to move the headquarters to the old plot of land. Therefore, we must be careful with voting over this Waco issue too quickly.

Harden not your hearts.

"True we are establishing our headquarters on this mount that is found in prophecy... concerning "the naming of our 'camp' 'Mt. Carmel center'" he said, "...we did not know before that it was found in prophecy until after our attention was called to Mic. 7:14, and Amos.1:2." -S.C.11:12 p.30:1,2.

"...from this anti-typical hill of 'green pastures,' to which the prophet Micah's attention was called long ago (Micah 7:14) ...we are happy to sendgreetings from mother nature at Mt. Carmel."

"...The Lord has prepared this place form the very beginning for the work which it was to accomplish. This we believe <u>BECAUSE</u> its geographical surroundings, <u>and</u> its happy endowment of nature's choices environment, away from <u>all</u> the worldlings <u>and</u> the corrupting influences of city life..."

Here we see the inseparability of the fulfillment of Micah's prophecy and the divinely ordain landmarks; for Micah's prophecy itself contain those landmarks "which we dare not question." (see p. 4.

An Appeal

Not to mention all of the inconsistencies which can be found in the Waco Activist reports, I have only given you a small portion of the issues which involved this subject. Although this report have nullify Waco it admits that a central location (Texas) is still valid. This is God's principle and He will not settle for something less than His Divinely ordain landmarks. Brethren and sisters there is great danger when we tend to forget the way that the Lord has led us. I pray that the Father will continue to bless us with a knowledge of His grace.

A motion should be made to establish God's Headquarters, the General Association of Davidian Seventh-day Adventist, in the midst of the Land as <u>described</u> by Micah's prophecy which is in accordance to <u>all</u> the divinely ordain landmarks we "dare not question."

Consider now the crown awaited us from "...Jesus Christ, who is the faithful witness, and the first begotten from the dead, and the Prince of the kings of the earth. Unto Him that have loved us, and washed us from our sins in His own Blood." Rev.1:5. Amen.

Yours in the Master's Service,

Ammi, J. R.